# SPECTRAL ANALYSIS IN HILBERT SPACES

# A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF ATLANTA 'UNIVERSITY IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR

THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

BY

. 1. 3.

GERMAINE A. DICKINSON

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

ATLANTA, GEORGIA

AUGUST 1972 T = 29

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Chapter

I.	ELEMENTARY THEORY OF COMPACT OPERATORS	1
	Basic Topological Concepts	1
	Completely Continuous Operators	2
II.	BASIC CONCEPTS OF ADJOINT AND SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS	6
	Adjoint Operators	6
	Eigenvalues	9
III.	THE SPECTRUM OF A SELF-ADJOINT OPERATOR	20
	Operator Polynomials	20
	The Spectrum and Regular Values of a Self- Adjoint Operator	22
BIBLI	BIBLIOGRAPHY	

#### CHAPTER I

#### ELEMENTARY THEORY OF COMPACT OPERATORS

## 1.1 Basic Topological Concepts:

Before presenting special topics concerning spectral theory in Hilbert Spaces, we shall introduce several preliminary definitions and lemmas which shall be referred to throughout the thesis.

<u>Definition 1.1.1</u>: The finite set M is called an  $\pounds$ net for the set E if there exists for every point x in E a point F in M such that  $(x, F) \leq \pounds$ . If  $\pounds$ -net exists for E, then E is called totally bounded.

Lemma 1.1.2: A normed linear space X is a metric space with the metric defined by

l(x,y) = l(x-y)/l

Lemma 1.1.3: A sequentially compact subset of a metric space is totally bounded.

Lemma 1.1.4: If Y is a compact set of a metric space X, then Y is separable. <u>Theorem 1.1.5</u>: A necessary and sufficient condition for a subset E of a Metric space X to be compact is that for each 2>0, there exists in X a finite E-net for E. The condition is also sufficient if X is a complete space.

Theorem 1.1.6: For any two elements in a Hilbert Space H,

$$||x+y||^2 + ||x-y||^2 = 2||x||^2 + 2||y||^2$$

Lemma 1.1.7: Let x be in a Hilbert Space X and let P be a projection operator. If  $x \perp H_{o}$ , then Px=0.

## 1.2 Completely Continuous Operators

Definition 1.2.1: A continuous operator U mapping a normed linear space X into a normed linear space Y is called completely continuous if it transforms every bounded set in X into a compact set in Y.

<u>Theorem 1.2.2</u>: If U is a completely continuous operator mapping the normed linear space X into the normed linear space Y, then  $\mathbf{f}$  the range of U is separable.

Proof: Let S be the set U(K) in the space Y, where K represents the sphere in X with center at O and with radius r. Hence

$$S_n = \{y = U(x): x \in K_n\},\$$

Since

$$\mathbf{X} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}_{n} ,$$
  
$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U} (\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{U} (\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}_{n}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{n} (\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{K}_{n})) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{n} \mathbf{S}_{n} .$$

But  $S_n$  is compact by compactness of U. Therefore  $S_n$  has an t-net  $F_n$ . Let  $D = UF_n$ . Then D is countable and dense. Hence  $S_n$  is separable. Therefore  $\mathbf{T} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_n$  is separable.

<u>Theorem 1.2.3</u>: Let  $U = \alpha U_i + BU_2$  be a linear combination of completely continuous operators. Then U is completely continuous.

<u>Proof</u>: Let E be a bounded set. Let  $\{y_n\} \subset U(E)$ . Then,

$$y_n = \alpha(U_1(x_n) + BU_2(x_n))$$
, where  $x_n \in E$   
for  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

Since U, and U<sub>2</sub> are completely continuous, we can choose from the sequences  $\{U, (x_n)\}, \{U_2(x_n)\}\)$  convergent subsequences  $\{U, (x_{n'j'}), U_2(x_{n'j'})\}$  respectively. Hence the sequence  $\{U, (x_{n'j'})\}\)$  is convergent. This implies that U (E) is compact. Hence U is completely continuous.

Let U and V be linear operators from X into Y and Y into  $\mathbf{Z}$ , respectively. Let one of these operators be completely continuous then VU is also completely continuous.

<u>Proof</u>: Let U be completely continuous and V continuous. Let E be bounded. Let  $x_n$  be in E,n = 1,2,3....

Since U is completely continuous, we choose from the sequence  $\{ U(x_n) \}$  a convergent subsequence  $U(x_n)$ . Let

$$U_{(x_{n_{\nu}})} \longrightarrow y_{0}, \text{ for } y_{0} \in Y.$$

Then

 $VUx_{n_k} = V (Ux_{n_k}).$ 

Since V is continuous

 $V(Ux_{A_{\nu}}) \rightarrow V(y_{o}).$ 

Hence V (U(E) is sequentially compact. Thus V U is completely continuous.

Let V be completely continuous. Let E be a bounded set. Since, U is a bounded linear operator, E is transformed into a bounded set by U, i.e., U (E) is bounded. Since V is completely continuous, VU(E) is compact. Hence VU is completely continuous.

<u>Theorem 1.2.4</u>: Let  $\{U_n\}$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators from a complete space X into a space Y such that  $U_n \longrightarrow U$  (in the space of operators  $[X \longrightarrow Y]$ ). If the  $U_n$ , (n=1,2,...) are completely continuous, then U is also completely continuous.

<u>Proof</u>: Let S represent the unit sphere of the space X. It is only necessary to show that U (S) is compact.

Since  $U_n$  is convergent, for  $\notin$  there exists  $n_p > 0$  such that

(1.2.4)  $|| U_{n_0} - U || \leq \epsilon/2$ . Let y = Ux where x  $\epsilon$ S. Let  $yn_0 = U_{n_0} \times \cdot$  Then using (1.2.4) we have

$$(1.2.5) ||y-y_{n_0}|| = /|U(x)-U_{n_0}(x)||$$

$$\leq /|U-U_{n_0}|| ||x||$$

$$< t/2$$

Since  $U_{n_0}$  is compact,  $U_{h_0}(S)$  is compact. But then there exists an  $\frac{t}{2}$ -net  $F_{\xi} = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$  of  $U_{h_0}(S)$ . Hence there exists  $\mathbf{F}_{i_0} \in F_{\xi}$  such that (1.2.6)  $||y_{n_0} - z_{i_0}|| \leq \frac{t}{2}$ .

But

 $\| y - z_{i_0} \| \leq \| y - y_{n_0} \| + \| y_{n_0} - z_{i_0} \| \leq t/2 + t/2 = t$ by (1.2.5) and (1.2.6).

Thus  $F_{\ell}$  is an  $\ell$ -net for U(S). Therefore U(S) is compact by theorem (1.2.5) Hence U is completely continuous.

#### CHAPTER II

### BASIC CONCEPTS OF SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

## 2.1 Adjoint Operators:

Definition 2.1.1: Let X, Y be Hilbert Spaces. Let U be a bounded linear operator from X into Y and let y be in Y. Define

x'(x) = (Ux,y).

x'is clearly a linear functional.

Moreover,

$$|x'(x)| = |(Uxy)| \leq ||Ux|| ||y|| \leq ||U|| ||y|| ||x||.$$

Hence

$$\|\mathbf{x}'\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|$$

Thus x' is bounded and  $x' \in X$ . By Riesz-Frechet Theorem there exists a unique z in X such that //x'// = // z// and x'(x)=(x,z)for all x in X. Let U<sup>\*</sup> be a mapping from Y into X defined by

$$U^{T}y = z$$

Thus

(2.1.2) 
$$(Ux,y) = (x, U^{+}y)$$
 for all  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

 $\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{X}}$  is called the adjoint of  $\mathbf{U}_{\bullet}$ 

<u>Theorem 2.1.3</u>: The adjoint operator  $U^{\star}$  mapping. Y into X is a linear operator and

<u>Proof</u>: (a) By (2.1.2)  $(Ux_{1}y_{1} + y_{2}) = (x_{1}U^{+}(y_{1} + y_{2}))$ 

But also

$$(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{y}_{1}+\mathbf{y}_{2}) = (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{y}_{1},\mathbf{y}_{1}+(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{y}_{2})$$
$$= (\mathbf{x}_{1}\mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{y}_{1}) + (\mathbf{x}_{1}\mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{y}_{2})$$
$$= (\mathbf{x}_{1}\mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{y}_{1},\mathbf{y}_{1}+\mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{y}_{2}).$$

Hence

$$(x, \overline{U}^{*}(y_{1} + y_{2})) = (x, \overline{U}^{*}y_{1} + \overline{U}^{*}y_{2}).$$

Therefore

$$(x, U^{*}(y, +y_{2})) - (x, U^{*}y + U U y_{2}) = 0$$

This implies

$$(\mathbf{x}, \overline{\mathbf{U}}^{*}(\mathbf{y}_{i} + \mathbf{y}_{s}) - (\mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{y}_{i} + \mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{y}_{2}) = 0$$
 for all xeX.

Therefore,

$$U^{*}(y_{1} + y_{2}) - (U^{*}y_{1} + U^{*}y_{2}) = 0$$

Consequently,  $U^{\star}(y_1 + y_2) = U^{\star}y_1 + U^{\star}y_2$ (b) We know

$$(Ux, ey) = (x, U^{\dagger}(ey)).$$

Also

$$(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{\varphi}\mathbf{y}) = \overline{\mathbf{\varphi}}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{y}) = \overline{\mathbf{\varphi}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y})$$
$$= (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{\varphi}\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{y}).$$

Hence

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{X}}(\mathcal{T}\mathbf{y})) = (\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{T}\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{X}}\mathbf{y}).$$

This implies

$$\mathbf{U}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{\tau} \mathbf{U}^{\star}\mathbf{y}.$$

Therefore U<sup>\*</sup> is a linear operator.

We now show that  $||\vec{U}|| = ||\vec{U}||$ .

Putting  $X = U^{\star} y$  in (2.1.2), we get

Hence

$$\frac{|| \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{y} ||^2}{\leq} \frac{|| \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{y} ||}{\leq} \frac{|| \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{y} ||}{\leq} \frac{|| \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{y} ||}{|| \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{y} ||} \frac{|| \mathbf{y} ||}{|| \mathbf{y} ||}.$$

Therefore

$$\| \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{y} \| \leq \| \mathbf{v} \| \| \mathbf{y} \|$$
.

Thus

$$||v^*|| = ||v||.$$

(c) Putting y = Ux in (2.1.2) we get

$$(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x})$$
  $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x})$ 

or

$$\frac{\||\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}\|^{2}}{\leq} \left| (\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{X}) \right| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \ \| \ \mathbf{U}^{*}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x} \| \\ \leq \||\mathbf{x}|| \| \ \mathbf{U}^{*}\| \ \| \ \mathbf{U}^{*}\| \ \| \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x} \|.$$

Hence

This implies

Thus

<u>Definition 2.1.4</u>: Denote the <u>second adjoint</u> of U by U  $\overset{\star}{}$  and define it in the same manner as the adjoint U  $\overset{\star}{}$  with U $\overset{\star}{}$ .

Theorem 2.1.5: 
$$U^{\star} = U$$
.  
Proof:  $(U^{\star}y_{1}x) \quad (y_{2}U^{\star}x)$ .

Also

$$(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}) = (\overline{\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{y}) = (\overline{\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{X}}\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{X}}\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}).$$

Therefore

$$(y, Ux)$$
  $(y, U^{**}x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

Hence

$$v = v \neq \neq$$
.

2.2 Eigenvalues

<u>Definition 2.2.1</u>: An <u>eigenvalue</u> of an operator U is a number  $\lambda$  such that there exist an element  $x_{of} \neq 0$  with the property (2.2.2)  $Ux_o = \lambda x_o$ , An element x for which (2.2.2) holds is termed an <u>eigenvector</u> corresponding to the given eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . The eigenvectors corresponding to a given eigenvalue  $\lambda$  form a space called the <u>eigenspace</u> H<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>.

Lemma 2.2.3: If U is self-adjoint then,  

$$(Ux, y) = -\frac{1}{4} [(U(x, y), x+y) - (U(x-y), x-y)] + [(U(x+iy), x+iy) - (U(x-iy), x-iy)]$$
  
Proof: Proof is Trivial,

<u>Theorem 2.2.4</u>: If U is a self-adjoint operator, then  $\frac{||U|| = \sup_{||x||=1} / (Ux, x)}{||x||=1}$ 

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $Q = \sup / \langle x x \rangle / where <math>||x|| = I$ . Then  $|(Ux, x)| \leq ||Ux|| ||x|| \leq ||Ux|| \leq ||U|| ||x|| = ||U||$ .

Therefore

(2.2.5)  $Q = \sup |(Ux_yx)| \leq ||U||$ . We first observe that if U is self-adjoint then

(2.2.6) 
$$(Ux_{,x}) = (x_{,}Ux) = (\overline{Ux_{,x}}) \implies (Ux_{,x})$$
 is real.  
From lemma 2.2.3  
 $(Ux_{,y}) = \frac{1}{4} [(U(x_{,y}), x_{,y}) - (U(x_{,y}), x_{,y})]$ 

+ 
$$i \left[ \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}_{i}), \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}_{i} \right] - \left( \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}_{i}), \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}_{i} \right)$$
.

Considering (2.2.6),  
Re 
$$(Ux, y) = \frac{1}{4} [(U(x+y, x+y) - (U(x-y), x-y)]$$
  
 $\leq \frac{1}{4} Q [(x+y), x+y) - (x-y, x-y)]$   
 $= \frac{1}{4} Q [/|x+y|^2 + ||x-y||^2]$ 

$$= \begin{cases} Q \left[ \frac{2}{||x||^{2} + 2}{||y||^{2}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2} Q \left[ \frac{1}{||x||^{2}} + \frac{1}{||y||^{2}} \right] \\ \text{Let } \frac{1}{||x|| = 1} \quad \text{and } y = \frac{1}{||x||^{2}} \\ \text{Then} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\|\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{1}\mathbf{y}\right) \stackrel{2}{=} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Q}\left[/|\mathbf{x}||^{2} + \|\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Q} \cdot \left[1 + \frac{||\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}||^{2}}{||\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}||^{2}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Q}\left[1 + 1\right] \operatorname{Q}$$

Therefore

(2.2.7)  $// U // \leq Q.$ 

Hence

|| U || = Q.

Theorem 2.2.8: The eigenvalues of the operator U are real.

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue. Then there exists  $x, \neq 0$  such that

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Ux}_{0} = \lambda x_{0} \text{ . Let } x = x_{0} / / x / _{0} \text{ . Then} \\ & \text{ } / |x|/=1 \text{ and } \text{Ux} = \lambda x_{0} \text{ But then} \\ & (2.2.9) \quad (\text{ } \text{Ux}_{1} x) = (\lambda x_{1} x) = (\lambda x_{1} x) = \lambda (x_{1} x) \\ & = \lambda / |x|/^{2} = \lambda \text{.} \end{aligned}$ 

Since U is a self-adjoint (  $Ux_{,x}$ ) is real and hence  $\lambda$  is real.

<u>Theorem 2.2.10</u>: Let  $H_{\lambda_1}$  and  $H_{\lambda_2}$  be eigensubspaces corresponding to different eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of the operator U. Then  $H_{\lambda_1}$  is orthogonal to  $H_{\lambda_2}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Let x be in  $H_{\lambda_l}$  and y in  $H_{\lambda_2}$ . Then

$$Ux = \lambda_x$$
 and  $Uy = \lambda_y$ .

Therefore if  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  then

$$\lambda_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\lambda_{i} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{U}\mathbf{y})$$
$$= (\mathbf{x}_{i} \lambda_{2} \mathbf{y}) = \overline{\lambda}_{2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$
$$= \lambda_{2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

Thus

 $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (x_1 y) = 0$ . This implies  $(x_1 y) = 0$ , since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  by asymptotical tion.

Therefore  $H_{\lambda_1}$  and  $H_{\lambda_2}$  are orthogonal.

Theorem 2.2.11: A completely continuous self-adjoint operator U has at least one eigenvalue.

<u>Proof</u>: If U=0 then  $\lambda = 0$  is obviously the eigenvalue because

$$Ux_0 = \lambda x_0$$
, for any  $X_0 \neq 0$ .

Let  $U \neq 0$  and define m = in f (Ux, x) and M = sup (Ux, x). ||x||=1Then by Theorem 2.2.4 ||U|| = sup /(Ux, x)/.But if  $|m| \leq M$ , then  $M \geq m \geq 0$  and  $|(Ux, x)| = (Ux, x) \leq [m, M].$ Therefore sup /(Ux, x) / = M. ||x||=1Also, if  $|m| \geq M$  implies M(0).

Then  $\sup_{\substack{||\mathbf{x}| \neq l}} / (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{x}}) / = / \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}$ 

Hence

$$||U|| = \max [lml, M].$$

Define

$$\lambda_{i} = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } ||\mathbf{U}|| = |\mathbf{m}| \\ M & \text{if } ||\mathbf{U}|| = M. \end{cases}$$

We show that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the operator U.

Let || U || = M. Then from the definition of M there exists a sequence  $\{x_{h}\}$  with  $|| x_{n} /| = J$  such that (2.2.12)  $(Ux_{n}, x_{h}) \longrightarrow M = \lambda$ .

We can extract from the sequence  $\{Ux_n\}$  a convergent subsequence since U is completely continuous and  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

Let  $\{Ux_n\}$  denote this subsequence which converges to  $y_n$ . Then

$$\| \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{n} - \lambda \mathbf{x}_{n} \|^{2} = \| \| \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{n} \|^{2} - 2\lambda, (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n}) + \lambda,^{2}$$

$$\leq \| \| \mathbf{U} \|^{2} - 2\lambda, (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n}) + \lambda,^{2} \longrightarrow \| \| \mathbf{U} \|^{2} - 2\lambda^{2} + \lambda^{2}$$

$$\equiv 0,$$

Hence

$$Ux_n - \lambda x_n \xrightarrow{h \longrightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{x}_{n} = & \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{x}_{n}} - \langle \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{n} - \lambda \mathbf{x}_{n} \rangle & \rightarrow & & \\ & = & \mathbf{y}_{o} / \lambda & \text{since U is bounded.} \end{array}$$

Let  $x_0 = y_0/\lambda_1$ . Hence  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ 

Since U is a continuous operator

$$Ux_n \rightarrow Ux_o$$
.

Therefore

$$Ux_o = y_o = \lambda_i x_o$$
.

Since  $||x_0|| = 1$ ,  $x_0 \neq 0$ .

Therefore,  $\lambda$ , is an eigenvalue.

<u>Definition 2.2.13</u>: Let M be a closed linear subspace of a Hilbert Space. Then every x in H can be written uniquely in the form x = y+z, where y in M, z in M<sup>⊥</sup>. Point y is called the <u>"Projection"</u> of x in M, and the operator P given by Px=y is called the "projection" on M. Let P<sub>λ</sub> be the projection on the eigensubspace H<sub>1</sub>.

Theorem 2.2.14: Let U be a completely continuous selfadjoint operator, then the set of eigenvalues of U is not more than countable and

(2.2.15)  $U = \xi \lambda_r P_{\lambda_r}$  where  $\lambda_r, \lambda_{2r}$  ... are different eigenvalues of U and convergence is in operator norm.

Let  $\nearrow$  be an eigenvalue of U.

Then

(2.2.16)  $\geqslant P_{\chi} = U P_{\chi} = P_{\chi} U$ , since for  $P_{\chi} x$  in  $H_{\chi}$  and any x in  $H_{\chi}$ 

 $UP_{\chi}x = \lambda P_{\chi}x$ 

and UP  $_{\lambda} \subset \lambda P_{\lambda}$  is self-adjoint, and hence P and U are permutable.

Let

(2.2.17)  $U_2 = U_1 - \lambda_1 P_{\lambda_1}$ , where  $U_1 = U$ . Using (2.2.16) and letting  $\widehat{P} = I - P_{\lambda_1, j}$  I being the identity operator then, (2.2.18)  $U_2 = \widetilde{P}U_1 = U_1 \widetilde{P}_1$ 

hence  $U_{\chi}$  is also self-adjoint. By Theorem 1.2.3  $U_{\chi}$  is also completely continuous and with (2.2.18) we have

$$||v_2|| \leq ||\tilde{P}, v_1|| \leq ||\tilde{P}, || ||v_1|| \leq ||v_1||$$

Theorem 2.2.11 applied to U<sub>2</sub> gives us its numerically greatest eigenvalue, call it  $\lambda_{2^{\circ}}$ 

Since 
$$|\lambda_1| = ||U_1||$$
 and  $|\lambda_2| = ||U_2||_1$   
 $|\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2|$ 

It remains to show that  $\lambda_i$  is not an eigenvalue of the operator U,.

Let  $\lambda_{i}$  be an eigenvalue of  $U_{\lambda_{i}}$ , then there is an element  $x \neq 0$  such that

$$v_x = \lambda_x$$
.

From (2.2.17)

(2.2.19)  $U_1 \mathbf{x} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{P}_{\lambda_1} \mathbf{x} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}$ .

Applying  $P_{\lambda}$  to both sides of the equation and using (2.2.12) we have

 $\lambda_{\mu} p_{\lambda,\mu} x = P_{\lambda,\mu} Ux - \lambda_{\mu} P_{\lambda,\mu} x = UP_{\lambda,\mu} x - \lambda_{\mu} P_{\lambda,\mu} x^{=0}$ . Therefore substituting in equation (2.2.19)

$$\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}$$

Thus we have an element x in  $H_{\lambda}$  , where

$$X = P_{\lambda_1} \times = 0$$

But this contradicts the fact that  $x \neq 0$ . Hence  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of the operator  $U_{2}$ .

Now we show that every non-zero eigenvalue of the operator  $U_2$  is an eigenvalue of  $U_1$ .

Let  $\neq 0$  be an eigenvalue of U<sub>2</sub> and let X be a non-zero element such that U<sub>2</sub>x= $\lambda$ x.

Then by (2.2.18) (2.2.20)  $U_1 \stackrel{\sim}{P}$ ,  $x = \lambda x$ . Applying  $\stackrel{\sim}{P}$ , we have  $\stackrel{\sim}{P}$ ,  $U_1 \stackrel{\sim}{P} = \lambda P$ , x.

Also

$$\widetilde{P}_{i} U_{i} \widetilde{P}_{i} = U \widetilde{P}_{i} x^{2} = U_{i} \widetilde{P}_{i} x = \lambda x.$$
 Therefore  
 $\widetilde{Y} \widetilde{P}_{i} x = \lambda x$   
 $\widetilde{P}_{i} X = X.$ 

implies

Using (2.2.19) this gives

 $\mathcal P$  is therefore an eigenvalue of U .

Now let X be an eigenvector of U , corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and H $\lambda$ , H $_{\lambda}$  be orthogonal for  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_{2}$ 

. By Lemma (1.1.7),  $P\lambda_{,x=0}$ .

Therefore

$$\mathbf{U}_{2}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}_{1}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\lambda}_{b}\mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}_{1}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}$$
.

Hence  $\mathbf{X}$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{z}}$ .

Let us assume that  $U_2$  is not identically zero. Then we can construct an operator such that  $U_3 = U_2 - \lambda_2 P_2$  We continue in this manner and get operators  $U_{i}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}$ which are completely continuous and self-adjoint. These operators have eigenvalues  $\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{n}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ . They are defined such that

(2.2.21) 
$$U_{k+1} = U_k - \lambda_k P_{\lambda_k} = U - \xi \gamma_{\mathcal{B}} P_{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}}}$$
  
for K-1,2,...n-1

and

$$|2, | \ge |2, | \ge \dots \ge |2, |$$

Further

(2.2.22)  $|| U_{\mathcal{L}} / | = / \lambda_{\mathcal{K}} /$  for K = 1,2,...,n-1.

We have already shown that these  $\lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$  will be different eigenvalues of  $U_I = U_{\bullet}$ 

Let  $U_n = 0$  for all n. Then by using (2.2.21) we have

If  $U_n \neq 0$  for any  $n=1,2,\ldots$ , we get a sequence of operators  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$ ... and their eigenvalues  $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots$  In this case we show that  $\lambda_n$  converges to zero. Suppose  $\lambda_n$  does not converge to zero, then

 $|\lambda_n| \ge \lambda_0 > 0$  for all n = 1, 2, ...

Let  $x_h$  in  $H_{x_h}$  be such that  $//x_h || = /.$  The elements

19

 $x_n$  are orthogonal to each other. Using (2.2.22)

Hence the subsequence  $\{Ux_n\}$  is not convergent and no subsequence is convergent. But this contradicts the fact that U is completely continuous. Since  $||U_n|| = |\lambda_n|$  for all n.

$$U_n \xrightarrow{h \to 0} 0.$$

Hence using (2.2.21) we get

Therefore (2.2.15) is established.

Now we show that U has no non-zero eigenvalues apart from  $\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{2,1}\cdots,\lambda_{n,1}\cdots$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a non-zero eigenvalue such that  $\lambda \neq \lambda_{, \lambda_{\alpha_j}}$ . Then using the already established (2.2.15) we have

 $\lambda \mathbf{x} = \xi \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{\lambda_k} \mathbf{x}$ .

The elements  $P_{\lambda_{k}}$  in  $H_{\lambda_{k}}$  are orthogonal to each other. Therefore, the following holds:

 $\lambda P_{\lambda_m} x = \lambda_m P_m x$  for m=1,2,... Since  $\lambda = \lambda_m$  by lemma (1.1.7)

$$P_{\lambda} \mathbf{x} = 0$$

which implies x=0. This contradicts the assumption that  $x\neq 0$ . Hence there are no non-zero eigenvalues of U apart from  $\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}$ ... We have shown that the set of eigenvalues of a completely continuous operator U is not more than countable.

#### CHAPTER III

#### THE SPECTRUM OF SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

# 3.1 Operator Polynomials:

Definition 3.1.1: Let U be a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert Space H and let the bounds of U be defined by  $m = \inf_{\substack{N \le M \le t}} (U \times , X)$  and  $M = \sup_{\substack{N \le M \le t}} (U \times , X)$ . Let  $((3.1.2) \cup (t) = C_0 + C_t t + \dots + C_n t^n \text{ for all scalars } c$ and define  $(3.1.3) \cup (U) = C_0 I + C_t U + \dots + C_n U^n$ .  $\bigcup (U) \text{ is called the <u>operator polynomial</u>}.$ <u>Lemma 3.1.4</u>: Operator polynomials satisfy the followingconditions:

- i) If  $\mathcal{U}(t)$  is a real polynomial, then  $\mathcal{U}(U)$  is a selfadjoint operator.
- ii) If  $\mathcal{U}(t) = \alpha \mathcal{U}_1(t) + B \mathcal{U}_2(t)$ , then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) = \gamma \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbf{U}) + B \mathcal{U}_2(\mathbf{U})$ .
- iii) If  $\mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{U}_{1}(t) \mathcal{U}_{1}(t)$ , then

 $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{U}) \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{U})$ 

iv) If UV = VU, then  $\mathcal{U}(U) V = V \mathcal{U}(U)$ .

<u>Proof</u>: i) Let  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  be a real polynomial then consider  $\mathcal{Q}(U) = C_o I + C_o U \cdots C_h t c^h$ . Each operator I, U, ..., U is self-adjoint.  $\mathcal{Q}(U)$  is self-adjoint for  $C_o$ , ...,  $C_h$  real numbers. ii) Let  $\mathcal{Q}(t) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{Q}(t) + B + C_o(t))$ . Using (3.1.2) we have  $\mathcal{Q}(t) = \mathcal{Q}(C_o + C_i t + \cdots + C_n t^h) + B(S_o + S_i t + \cdots + S_n t^h)$ for scalars C and S.

Then by (3.1.3)  

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) = \alpha(\mathbf{C}_{0}\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{C}_{1}(\mathbf{U}) + \dots + \mathbf{C}_{n}\mathbf{U}^{n}$$

$$\mid \mathbf{f}^{B}(\mathbf{S}_{0}\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{S}_{1}(\mathbf{U}) + \dots + \mathbf{S}_{n}(\mathbf{U})$$

$$= \alpha(\mathcal{U}_{1}(\mathbf{U}) + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{U}_{2}(\mathbf{U}).$$
iii) Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{t}) - \mathcal{U}_{2}(\mathbf{t})$ , then  

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{t}) = (\mathbf{C}_{0}+\mathbf{C}_{1}\mathbf{t}+\dots + \mathbf{C}_{n}\mathbf{t}^{n}) \quad (\mathbf{S}_{0}+\mathbf{S}_{1}\mathbf{t}+\dots + \mathbf{S}_{n}\mathbf{t}^{n})$$
for scalars C and S.

Then by 
$$(3.1.3)$$
  
 $\mathcal{U}(U) = (C_a I + C_i (U) + \dots + C_h U^h) (S_b I + S_i U + \dots + S_h U)$   
 $= \mathcal{U}_i(U) (\mathcal{U}_i(U).$   
iv) Let  $UU = VU$  Then

1V) Let 
$$UV = VU$$
. Then  

$$(\mathcal{L}(U) V = (C_0 I + C_1 U + \cdots + C_n U^n) V$$

$$= C_0 I (V) + C_1 UV + \cdots + C_n U^n V$$

$$= C_0 V I + C_1 VU + \cdots + C_n VU^n$$

$$= V (C_0 I + C_1 U + \cdots + C_n U^n)$$

$$= V (\mathcal{L}(U).$$

Lemma 3.1.5: We have (3.1.6)  $||(\mathcal{U}(U))||_{\max}^{2} \max |\mathcal{U}(t) \cdot \frac{1}{t \in \mathbb{I}_{n}} |\mathcal{M}| + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{U}(t) ||_{\infty}^{2})$ Since  $|\mathcal{U}(U)|$  is a self-adjoint operator (3.1.7)  $||\mathcal{U}(U)||_{\infty}^{2} \sup (\mathcal{U}(U) \times \mathcal{U}(U) \times \mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{U}(U) \times \mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{U})$ 

<u>Definition 3.1.7</u>: A number $\lambda$  is a point of the spectrum of self-adjoint operator U if there exists a sequence

 $(X_h)$  such that

(3.2.2) 
$$Ux_n - \lambda \times u_{h \to \infty} = 0, ||x_n|| = 1$$
  
for  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

We can use as another synonymous definition,  $\lambda$  is a point of the spectrum if

(3.2.3)  $\inf_{\substack{\|Y\|=1\\ \|Y\|=1}} \|UY - \lambda x| \mathcal{D}$ . The set of all such points is called the <u>spectrum</u> of U denoted by S  $u^{\bullet}$ . By the definition of eigenvalue, every eigenvalue of U is an element in the spectrum, but the spectrum may contain points other than the eigenvalues of U.

Lemma 3.2.4: The bounds of U are points of *i*+s spectrum. Proof: Let  $0 \le m \le M$  and  $let \lambda = M$ . We have // W/-Jand for ||x|| = 1

$$\|[\mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda \times}]\|^{2} = (\mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda \times}, \mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda \times}) = \|[\mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda}]\|^{2} = (\mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda}, \mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda}) + \lambda^{2}$$
  
=  $2\lambda^{2} - 2\lambda (\mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda}) \leq 2\lambda (\lambda - (\mathbb{U} \chi_{-\lambda}))].$ 

This gives us inf  $||U \times -\lambda x||^2 \ge 2\lambda [\lambda - \sup_{\substack{|X||=1 \\ ||X||=1}} (U \times , X)]$ , =  $2\lambda [M - M] = 0$ .

By  $(3.2.3)\lambda$  is in the spectrum of U.

Lemma 3.2.5: The spectrum of an operator U is a closed set.

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $\lambda$ , be such that  $\lambda$ , is not in S<sub>4</sub>. Then  $d = \inf || U_X - \lambda_i x || > 0.$ 

Let  $/\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}/2$ . Then

 $\inf //\mathbb{U} \times -\lambda \times // \ge \inf //\mathbb{U} \times -\lambda \times // \ge inf //\mathbb{U} \times -\lambda \times // = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0.$ Hence  $\lambda \notin S_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

Lemma 3.2.6: Let  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L})$  be a real polynomial. Then the spectrum of the operator  $\mathcal{Q}(U)$  contains all points  $\mathcal{A}$  of the form  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda$  in  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $\mu$  be a real number and consider the equation  $(\mathcal{L}_{\ell}(t) = \mu)$ 

with  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s$  as all the roots of this equation.

Hence,  $\mathcal{U}(U) - \mathcal{M}I$  can be expressed in the following manner: (3.2.7)  $\mathcal{U}(U) - \mathcal{M}I = C$  (U - t, I)  $(U - t_z I) \dots (U - t_s I)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be in S<sub>U</sub>. Then there is a sequence (x, J) of elements such that

 $\| \mathbf{x} \| = 1 \text{ and}$  $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}_n - \lambda \mathbf{x}_n \longrightarrow 0.$ 

Put  $t_s = \lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \text{ in } (3.2.7)$ . Then,

 $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) \times_{n} - \mathcal{U} \times_{n} = \mathbb{C} \quad (\mathbf{U} - \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I}) \quad (\mathbf{U} - \mathcal{L}_{2}\mathbf{I}) \quad \cdots \quad (\mathbf{U} \times_{n} - \lambda \times_{n}) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathcal{O}$ Therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  is a point in the spectrum of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U})$ .

Now, we assume that none of the  $t_{\mathcal{K}}$  belong to  $S_{\mathcal{U}}$ , then

 $S_{\mu} = \inf_{\substack{||Y||=1 \\ ||Y||=1}} ||\mathcal{C}(U) \times - U \times ||=0.$ Therefore  $|U = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}_{\chi})$  for  $\mathbf{k} = 1, 2, \dots, S$  are not in the spectrum of  $\mathcal{C}(U)$ .

Lemma 3.2.8: Let 
$$\mathcal{Q}(t)$$
 be a polynomial then  
 $\|\mathcal{U}(U)\| = \max_{\substack{t \in S_{\mathcal{U}}}} |\mathcal{Q}(t)|$ .  
Proof: Since U is self-adjoint, we have  
(3.2.9)  $\|\mathcal{U}(U)\|^2 = \sup_{\substack{t \in U \\ t \in U}} (\mathcal{Q}(U) \times \mathcal{Q}(U) \times \mathbf{X})$   
 $= \sup_{\substack{t \in U \\ t \in U}} (\mathcal{Q}(U) \mathcal{Q}(U) \times \mathbf{X})$   
 $= \sup_{\substack{t \in U \\ t \in U}} (\mathcal{U}(U) \times \mathbf{X})$   
 $= \sup_{\substack{t \in U \\ t \in U}} (\mathcal{U}(U) \times \mathbf{X})$ 

$$\Psi(t) = / \psi(t) / \frac{2}{0}$$
  
(U) .

Hence  $||\psi(U)^2||$ . is an upper bound of the operator  $\underline{\Psi}(U)$ .

The upper bound of a positive operator  $\mathcal{Y}(\mathtt{U})$  is the same as the least upper bound of S  $\mathcal{Y}(U)$ 

(3.2.10) 
$$M \Psi(U) = \sup S \Psi(U).$$

Applying Lemma 3.2.6 we have

(3.2.11) 
$$\sup S \Psi(U) = \sup \Psi(SU) = \left[\sup | w(t) \right]$$
,  
 $teSu$   
 $sup$  ().

Using equations (3.2.9), (3.2.10), and (3.2.11) we get  $|| \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{U}) ||^2 = \sup (\Psi(\mathbf{U}) \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \sup S \Psi(\mathbf{U})$  $= \int_{t \in S_{u}} \sup \left[ \mathcal{U}(t) \right]^{2}.$ Therefore since  $S_{\mu}$  is closed, sup is attained. Hence,

 $\frac{\| \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{U}) \|}{t \in S_{\mathcal{U}}} = \frac{\sup | \mathcal{Q}(t) |}{t \in S_{\mathcal{U}}} = \frac{\max | \mathcal{U}(t) |}{t \in S_{\mathcal{U}}}.$ <u>Theorem 3.2.12</u>: Let  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$  be a continuous function in

Гт. M7.

Then,

$$\| (U(U)) \| = \max_{t \in S_{u}} | (U(U)) \|$$

**Proof:** Consider a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_{k}(t)\}$  of polynomials. Let  $\{ \mathcal{C}_{n}(t) \}$  be uniformly convergent to  $\mathcal{Q}(t)$ . Then using (3.2.8) we get

 $U_{\lambda}(U) = \max_{\substack{t \in S_{\mathcal{U}}}} / U_{\lambda}(L) / .$ Taking the limit of both sides as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ 

we have

$$\| (\psi(\mathbf{U})) \| = \max_{t \in S_{\mathcal{U}}} | \psi(t) |.$$

<u>Definition 3.2.13</u>: A complex number  $\lambda$  is a <u>regular value</u> of U if it does not belong to the spectrum of U.

<u>Theorem 3.2.14</u>: If  $\lambda$  is a regular value of the operator U, then there exist in the Hilbert Space H the inverse bounded linear operator R defined by (3.2.15)  $R_{\lambda} = [U - \lambda I]^{-1}$ .

Also if such an operator R as defined in equation (3.2.15) exists then  $\lambda$  is a regular value.

<u>Proof</u>: We show that if  $\lambda$  in a regular value then  $\mathbb{R}_{\lambda}$  exists. Let  $\lambda$  be a regular value and define a function  $S_{\lambda}$  on  $S_{\mu}$  as follows

$$(3.2.16) \qquad S_{\lambda} \quad (4) \quad \frac{1}{4-\lambda}.$$
  
Let  $\mathbb{R}_{\lambda} = S_{\lambda} \quad (U).$   
From (3.2.16) we have  
 $(t-\lambda) \quad S_{\lambda} \quad (t) = 1 \text{ for } t \in S_{\mathcal{U}}.$   
 $(U - \lambda I) \quad S_{\lambda} \quad (U) = \quad (U - \lambda I) \quad \mathbb{R}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{R}_{\lambda} \quad (U - \lambda I) = I.$   
Therefore,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} U - \chi I \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

We now show that if the inverse bounded linear operator R exists, then  $\lambda$  is a regular value.

Let inverse operator  $\mathbb{R}_{\lambda} = [\mathbb{U} - \lambda \mathbb{I}]$  exists. Let ||X|| = 1. Then

 $\mathbb{R}_{\chi}$   $(\mathbb{U} - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \times || = || \times || = 1.$ 

Therefore, since  $R_{\lambda}$  is a bounded linear operator,

 $\mathbf{1} = // \mathbf{R}_{\lambda} \quad (\mathbf{U} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} // \leq // \mathbf{R}_{\lambda} // \quad // \mathbf{U}_{\lambda} - \lambda \times // \cdot$ 

Hence,

$$\inf_{\substack{\||\mathbf{X}\|=1}} \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{X} - \lambda\mathbf{K}\| \ge \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{R}_{\lambda}\|} > 0. \qquad 0$$

Hence  $\lambda \notin S_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  is a regular value of U.

<u>Theorem 3.2.17</u>: Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$  be a continuous real function defined on  $S_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then the spectrum of the operator  $\mathcal{L}(U)$ contains all points  $\mu$  of the form

 $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  for  $\lambda$  in  $S_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $\mu$  be a point outside the spectrum Let  $\Psi$  be a continuous function defined by

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(t) - \mu} \text{ for } t \text{ in } S_{\mu}.$$

We have  $\Psi(U)$  defined by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbb{C} \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}^{-1}.$$

Using Theorem (3.2.6) we have A is a regular value for

Let  $A = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda$  in  $S_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

Consider a sequence  $\{ \mathcal{C}_n(t) \}$  of polynomials which is uniformly convergent on  $S_{\mathcal{U}}$  to the function  $\mathcal{C}(t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) \ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{U} \ (\mathbf{x}) \| &= \| (\mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\mathbf{U})} \ \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) \ \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\mathbf{U})} \ \mathbf{x} \\ &+ \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{U} \ (\mathbf{x}) \| \\ &\leq \| \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) \ \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} \| + \| \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) \ \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\mathbf{U})} \ (\mathbf{x}) \| \\ &+ \| \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} \| \\ &\leq \| \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) \ \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} \| + \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\mathbf{U})} \ - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\mathbf{U})} \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \\ &+ \| \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} \| \| \\ &+ \| \mathcal{U}_{h}^{(\lambda)} \ \mathbf{x} \| \\ &+ \| \mathcal{$$

Applying Lemma (3.2.6) we get

 $\inf \int \left\| \left( \mathcal{U}_{n}(\mathbf{U}) \times - \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \times \right\|_{r} = 0.$ Hence,  $\inf \int \left\| \left( \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}) \right\| \leq \| \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U} - \mathcal{U}_{n}(\mathbf{U})) + \mathbf{A} - \mathcal{U}_{n}(\lambda) \right\|$   $\| \mathbf{Y} \|_{r} = 1$ Taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  we have,  $\inf \int \| (\mathbf{U}) \times - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \| = 0.$   $\| \mathbf{x} \|_{r} = 1$ Therefore  $\mathbf{A}$  belongs to the spectrum of U.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Friedman, Avner. Foundation of Modern Analysis, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1970.

Kantorovich, Leonid V. Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces, New York: The MacMillan Company, 1964.

Taylor, Angus E. Introduction to Functional Analysis, New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1967.